

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.513 OF 2006.

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 264 OF 2006.

Kishore Suresh Lokare.

... Applicant.

Versus.

The State of Maharashtra.

... Respondent.

Shri Mobin Solkar i/by Shri A.A.Jambotkar for the
Applicant.

Ms.S.V.Gajare, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 7th November, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State. It is brought to my notice that the compliance has been made with the order dated 14th October 2006 passed by this Court. To show the compliance, the Advocate for the Applicant has placed on record a copy of the order dated 31st October 2006 passed by the Sessions Court.

2. The Applicant is Accused No.1 who has been convicted for offence punishable under section 393 of the Indian Penal Code. The substantive sentence is of two years. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial. There is nothing on record to show that the Applicant has misused the liberty granted to him during the pendency of the trial. The substantive sentence is only of two years. In all probability the Appeal will not be heard before the period of sentence is over.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances, the Applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

3. Hence the Application is disposed of by the following order:

- i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial, subject to filing fresh bail bonds.
- ii) The Applicant shall report to the Goregaon Police Station once in every calendar month till disposal of the Appeal.

Judge.